Comparison of Two Different Synthesis Methods of Single Crystals of Superconducting Uranium Ditelluride

Sheng Ran^{1,2,3}, I-Lin Liu^{1,2}, Shanta R. Saha^{1,2}, Prathum Saraf¹, Johnpierre Paglione^{1,2}, Nicholas P. Butch^{1,2}

Abstract

the samples.

¹ Maryland Quantum Materials Center, Department of Physics, University of Maryland ² National Institute of Standards and Technology ³ Department of Physics, Washington University in St. Louis

Single crystal specimens of the actinide compound uranium ditelluride, UTe₂, are of great importance to the study and characterization of its dramatic

unconventional superconductivity, believed to entail spin-triplet electron pairing.

A variety in the superconducting properties of UTe₂ reported in the literature

indicates that discrepancies between synthesis methods yield crystals with different

superconducting properties, including the absence of superconductivity entirely. This

protocol describes a process to synthesize crystals that exhibit superconductivity via

chemical vapor transport, which has consistently exhibited a superconducting critical

temperature of 1.6 K and a double transition indicative of a multi-component order

parameter. This is compared to a second protocol that is used to synthesize crystals via the molten metal flux growth technique, which produces samples that are not

bulk superconductors. Differences in the crystal properties are revealed through a

comparison of structural, chemical, and electronic property measurements, showing that the most dramatic disparity occurs in the low-temperature electrical resistance of

Corresponding Author

Nicholas P. Butch nbutch@umd.edu

Citation

Ran, S., Liu, I.L., Saha, S.R., Saraf, P., Paglione, J., Butch, N.P. Comparison of Two Different Synthesis Methods of Single Crystals of Superconducting Uranium Ditelluride. *J. Vis. Exp.* (173), e62563, doi:10.3791/62563 (2021).

Date Published

July 8, 2021

DOI

10.3791/62563

URL

jove.com/video/62563

Introduction

At temperatures typically much lower than room temperature, many materials exhibit superconductivity-the fascinating macroscopic quantum state in which the electrical resistance becomes absolutely zero and electrical current can flow without dissipation. In the typical superconducting phase, instead of acting as separate entities, the constituent electrons form Cooper pairs, which are commonly made up of two electrons with opposite spins, in a spin singlet configuration. However, in very rare cases, the Cooper pairs can instead be made up of two electrons with parallel spins, in a spin triplet configuration. Among the few thousand superconductors discovered so far, there are only a few superconductors that have been identified as spin triplet candidates. This rare quantum phenomenon has attracted a lot of research interest because spin triplet superconductors are proposed to be one potential building

jpve

block for quantum computers^{1,2}, the next generation of computation technology.

Recently, Ran and coworkers reported that UTe₂ is a candidate spin triplet superconductor³. This superconductor has many exotic properties indicative of a spin triplet configuration: an extreme, disproportionately large, critical magnetic field required to suppress the superconductivity, a temperature-independent NMR Knight shift³, a spontaneous magnetic moment indicated by the optical Kerr effect⁴, and a chiral electronic surface state indicated by scanning tunneling spectroscopy⁵. Moreover, additional superconducting phases are actually induced in high magnetic field⁶, an example of the unusual phenomenon of reentrant superconductivity.

Although these new results are robust, the superconducting properties of UTe2 depend on the synthesis process used by different groups^{7,8,9}. Crystals of UTe₂ synthesized using the chemical vapor transport method superconduct below a critical temperature of 1.6 K. In contrast, those grown using the molten flux method have a greatly suppressed superconducting critical temperature or do not superconduct at all. In anticipation of applications such as quantum computing, reliably obtaining crystals that superconduct is highly desirable. Moreover, investigating why nominally similar crystals do not superconduct is also very helpful for understanding the fundamental superconducting pairing mechanism in UTe2, which, while novel and a topic of intense research, must differ significantly from that of conventional superconductors. For these reasons, the two different synthesis methods are complementary and useful to compare. In this paper, two different methods for synthesis of UTe₂ are demonstrated and properties of the single crystals from the two methods are compared.

Protocol

1. Removing uranium oxide from the uranium metal

- In a fume hood, prepare three beakers that contain 1 mL of nitric acid, 5 mL of distilled water, and 5 mL of acetone, respectively.
- 2. Using a saw or cutters, cut a piece of uranium metal to the desired mass.
- Using tweezers, place the uranium into the beaker with nitric acid. Wait for approximately 10 s for the acid to dissolve the black oxidized surface, such that the uranium appears shiny and metallic.
- 4. Take out the piece of uranium from the acid, rinse it in distilled water for 5 s, and then remove the piece of uranium.
- Put the uranium into the beaker containing acetone for 5 s and remove it.
- 6. Determine the mass of the uranium. The uranium is ready for synthesis.

2. Chemical vapor transport

- Weigh out an appropriate amount of elemental tellurium, depending on the amount of uranium previously cleaned, following an atomic ratio of uranium to tellurium of 2:3.
- 2. Weigh out an appropriate amount of iodine, determined by the desired density of 1 mg/cm³ in the volume of the quartz tube to be used during synthesis. Choose a length of the tube to span the furnace, with each end sitting in one of the temperature zones. Ensure that the diameter fits well in the furnace.

jove

NOTE: Samples previously reported³ were made in a quartz tube that is 11 cm long, with a 14 mm inner diameter, so that the total mass of iodine is about 17 mg.

- Close one end of a fused quartz tube using a torch. A hydrogen/oxygen torch works well. Use any torch that gets hot enough to soften fused quartz. Allow the tube to cool.
- Put all the materials into the quartz tube. Evacuate the tube using a dry vacuum pump and seal the tube with the torch.
- Insert the tube into a 2-zone horizontal tube furnace.
 When doing this, make sure to slide all the raw materials to one side of the tube, which will be the hot side.
- Over 12 h, heat at constant rates the hot side to 1060 °C, and the other side to 1000 °C. Hold the temperatures for 1 week, and then turn off the furnace to allow it to cool slowly to room temperature.

3. Molten metal flux growth

- Weigh out uranium and tellurium according to the atomic ratio of 1:3.
- Put all the materials in a 2 mL alumina crucible. On top of this crucible, place another 2 mL crucible, filled with quartz wool, facing downward.
- Close one end of a fused quartz tube using a torch. A hydrogen/oxygen torch works well. Use any torch that gets hot enough to soften the fused quartz. Allow the tube to cool.
- Place the two crucibles into a quartz tube having a 14 mm inner diameter. Use a dry vacuum pump to evacuate the tube and then seal the tube with the torch.

- Put the quartz tube into a 50 mL alumina crucible to be used as an exterior container for stability. Place these in a box furnace.
- Over 12 h, heat the furnace at a constant rate to 1180 °C.
 Hold the temperature for 5 h. Cool the furnace at constant rate to 975 °C for over 100 h.
- 7. Prepare a centrifuge with a swing out rotor and metal buckets. At 975 °C, take out the tube using furnace tongs, carefully invert it, and then put it into the centrifuge. Spin at 2500 x g (4000 rpm for these sealed quartz tube assemblies) for 10-20 s, forcing the extra liquid tellurium to separate from the UTe₂ crystals and get caught in the quartz wool.
- 8. Allow the tube to cool to room temperature.

4. Opening the tubes and harvesting the crystals

- Place the quartz tube into a sealed plastic bag and place it on a hard surface, such as a laboratory benchtop or a fume hood.
- Using a small hammer or any other blunt object, carefully crack and break the quartz tube, preferably at the end away from the crystals.
- Open the plastic bag and pick out the UTe₂ crystals. Perform this process within an hour or so, as UTe₂ is air-sensitive and noticeably degrades over the course of several hours.
- 4. Rinse the crystals with 2 mL of ethanol to remove iodine.
- Store the UTe₂ crystals under an inert atmosphere, such as inside a nitrogen glove box.

Representative Results

Both growth techniques yield crystals of UTe₂ having dimensions on the millimeter length scale. Crystals are shiny, with a metallic luster. The crystal morphology is variable, and intergrowths can occur. Generally, chemical vapor transport and flux grown crystals look similar and are not easily distinguishable by visual inspection, as is evident in **Figure 1**.

To confirm the crystal structure, powder x-ray diffraction measurements are typically performed on crushed single crystals of both CVT grown and flux grown UTe₂ single crystals at room temperature. Single crystals from both growth techniques have the same crystal structure and are single phase, with no sign of impurity phases. **Figure 2** shows the collected x-ray diffraction data and a refinement to a body-centered orthorhombic crystal structure with the space group Immm¹⁰.

The temperature dependence of the electrical resistance is a typical way to characterize metallic materials. **Figure 3** compares the temperature dependence of the electric resistance, normalized to the room temperature value, for samples of UTe₂ synthesized using chemical vapor transport and flux methods. These data were collected in a commercial refrigerator system using a standard 4-lead configuration. Above 50 K, both samples show a slight increase of the electrical resistance upon cooling, which is atypical of metals. This behavior is consistent with that caused by scattering of conduction electrons off the uranium atomic magnetic moments, known as the single ion Kondo effect. A broad maximum is also seen in both samples, followed by a drop in resistance due to the onset of Kondo coherence.

A distinct difference between the samples is that the value of the residual resistance, or value of the resistance in the zero-temperature limit, is dramatically larger in the sample synthesized by the flux method. The residual resistance ratio RRR, or the ratio between the resistance value at room temperature and the residual resistance, is approximately 2 for the flux grown sample, which is about 15 times smaller than the RRR value of the chemical vapor transport sample. The greatly reduced RRR of the flux grown sample indicates that there are more crystallographic impurities or defects in the flux grown sample, which are responsible for stronger scattering of the conduction electrons, and hence the higher residual resistance. These values are consistent with previous reports⁷.

A more dramatic difference is that the flux grown samples do not superconduct. In general, the presence of impurities and defects is detrimental to superconductivity because increased scattering weakens the electron pairing interaction that underlies superconductivity. The effects of disorder may be even more pronounced in UTe₂, in which superconductivity is believed to be of the unusual spin triplet variety that is generally more sensitive to pair breaking^{11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19}. The effects of disorder and chemistry on superconductivity in UTe₂ are still in the early days and are currently an active field of study.

The DC magnetic susceptibility, or magnetization normalized to the applied field, of both flux grown and CVT grown UTe₂ look very similar. As shown in **Figure 4**, in which the data were collected at 1000 Oe in a commercial SQUID magnetometer, the high-temperature magnetic susceptibility shows a paramagnetic response when the magnetic field is applied along the crystallographic a-axis of the samples. At low temperatures, the magnetic susceptibility increases sharply and then shows a slight slope change at ~10 K, likely due to the Kondo coherence. The difference between the magnetic susceptibility curves of the two samples is small and

attributable to slight sample misalignment, rendering the two samples indistinguishable to this measurement.



Figure 1: Photographs of single crystals of UTe₂. (A) flux grown and (B-C) CVT grown. The grids are 1 mm. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.



Figure 2: Powder Xray diffraction data of CVT grown UTe₂. The data shows the good quality of the sample with no visible peaks from impurities. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.



Figure 3: Normalized electrical resistance data as a function of temperature for both CVT grown and flux grown UTe₂. The flux grown sample has a substantially larger residual resistance, which is a signature of increased crystallographic disorder. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.



Figure 4: Magnetic susceptibility, or magnetization normalized to applied magnetic field, as a function of temperature for both CVT grown and flux grown UTe₂. The samples show similar behavior, including a characteristic kink at approximately 10 K. A magnetic field H = 1000 Oe is applied parallel to the crystallographic a-axis. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

Discussion

To perform chemical vapor transport, it is simplest to utilize a two-zone horizontal furnace, which can generate a temperature gradient by setting the two zones at different temperatures. Successful use of a one-zone furnace to grow superconducting samples has not yet been demonstrated. Starting materials are sealed with a hydrogen-oxygen torch in a fused quartz tube, which must be purged of air. The purging and sealing can be accomplished by connecting the tube to a manifold attached to a dry pump and an argon gas cylinder. Once prepared, this tube is placed in the furnace such that two ends of the tube span the two temperature zones. In the case of UTe₂, the end of the tube containing the starting materials is placed at the hot end. The elemental

uranium and tellurium react with iodine, travel down the tube as a vapor, and eventually solidify at the cold end the quartz tube in the form of single crystals. Generally, the growth of large crystals is material-dependent and can take several weeks. For UTe₂, 7 days is enough to grow crystals with mm dimensions. Following the growth, the tube is removed from the furnace and opened to harvest the crystals.

The molten metal self-flux method requires a simple resistive box furnace with one temperature zone. Uranium dissolves in molten tellurium, and the solubility of UTe₂ is dependent on temperature. Starting materials, elemental uranium, and tellurium, are placed in an alumina crucible. On top of this crucible, a second crucible is placed upside down, filled with quartz wool. The two crucibles are sealed in a quartz tube, which is put in a box furnace. This time, instead of generating a fixed temperature gradient over a distance, the temperature is varied as a function of time, as the furnace is slowly cooled at a fixed rate. At the highest temperature, all the uranium will be dissolved in liquid tellurium, which has a much lower melting temperature than uranium. As the furnace cools, the solubility of UTe₂ decreases and UTe₂ single crystals precipitate and get larger. At a temperature that is low enough to have generated sufficiently large UTe₂ single crystals, but still high enough for the tellurium to remain liquid, the quartz tube is removed from the hot furnace, placed it into a centrifuge and spun, which separates the solid UTe₂ from the liquid tellurium before it freezes. After that, the tube is allowed to cool to room temperature, before it is broken to collect the crystals.

Working with depleted uranium is a heavily regulated activity that requires awareness of and compliance with applicable laws. Follow all local applicable hazardous and radioactive materials safety rules, and secure necessary permission to perform this work. These rules vary by jurisdiction and institution and cannot be addressed here. However, some general principles apply that can help with the planning of research. Researchers should be trained to work with radioactive and hazardous materials. Wear necessary personal protective equipment, including gloves. Work methodically and take care to avoid the spread of radioactive material. Discard waste in labeled and approved containers.

Disclosures

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institute of Standards and Technology. Portions of the synthesis were supported by Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant No. GBMF9071. Portions of the characterization were supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE) award DE-SC0019154. Identification of certain commercial products and company names is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply that the products or names identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

References

- Sau, J. D., Tewari, S. Topologically protected surface majorana arcs and bulk weyl fermions in ferromagnetic superconductors. *Physical Review B.* 86 (10), 104509 (2012).
- Fu, L., Kane, C. L. Superconducting proximity effect and majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator. *Physical Review Letters.* **100** (9), 096407 (2008).
- Ran, S. et al. Nearly ferromagnetic spin-triplet superconductivity. *Science*. 365 (6454), 684-687 (2019).
- Hayes, I. M. et al. Weyl Superconductivity in UTe₂. *arXiv*.
 2002.02539 (2020).
- Jiao, L. et al. Chiral superconductivity in heavy-fermion metal UTe₂. *Nature*. **579**, 523 (2020).
- Ran, S. et al. Extreme magnetic field-boosted superconductivity. *Nature Physics.* 15, 1250-1254 (2019).

- Aoki, D. et al. Unconventional superconductivity in heavy fermion UTe₂. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan.* 88, 043702 (2019).
- Cairnsm, L. P., Stevensm, C. R., O'Neill, C. D., Huxley,
 A. Composition dependence of the superconducting properties of UTe₂. *Journal of Physics. Condensed Matter: An Institute of Physics Journal.* **32** (41), 415602 (2020).
- Thomas, S. M. et al. Evidence for a pressure-induced antiferromagnetic quantum critical point in mixed valence UTe₂. *Science Advances.* 6 (42), eabc8709 (2020).
- Hutanu, V. et al. Low-temperature crystal structure of the unconventional spin-triplet superconductor UTe₂ from single-crystal neutron diffraction. *Acta Crystallographica Section B, Structural Science, Crystal Engineering and Materials.* **76** (Pt 1), 137-143 (2020).
- Sundar, S. et al. Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe₂," *Physical Review B.* **100**, 140502(R) (2019).
- Metz, T. et al. Point-node gap structure of the spintriplet superconductor UTe₂. *Physical Review B.* **100**, 220504(R) (2019).
- Knebel, G. et al. Field-reentrant superconductivity close to a metamagnetic transition in the heavy-fermion superconductor UTe₂. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan.* 88, 063707 (2019).
- Braithwaite, D. et al. Multiple superconducting phases in a nearly ferromagnetic system. *Communications Physics.* 2, 147 (2019).

- Ran, S. et al. Enhancement and reentrance of spin triplet superconductivity in UTe₂ under pressure. *Physical Review B.* **101**, 140503(R) (2020).
- Nakamine, G. et al. Superconducting properties of heavy fermion UTe₂ revealed by ¹²⁵Te-nuclear magnetic resonance. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan.* 88, 113703 (2020).
- Miao, L. et al. Low energy band structure and symmetries of UTe₂ from angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Physical Review Letters.* **124**, 076401 (2020).
- Lin, W.-C. et al. Tuning magnetic confinement of spintriplet superconductivity. *npj Quantum Materials.* 5, 68 (2020).
- Bae, S. et al. Anomalous normal fluid response in a chiral superconductor. *arXiv*. 1909.09032 (2019).