Towards spin injection from silicon into topological insulators: Schottky barrier between Si and Bi₂Se₃

C. Ojeda-Aristizabal, M. S. Fuhrer, N. P. Butch,^{a)} J. Paglione, and I. Appelbaum *Center for Nanophysics and Advanced Materials, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland* 20742-4111, USA

(Received 23 May 2012; accepted 19 June 2012; published online 9 July 2012)

A scheme is proposed to electrically measure the spin-momentum coupling in the topological insulator surface state by injection of spin polarized electrons from silicon. As an initial approach, devices were fabricated consisting of thin (<100 nm) exfoliated crystals of Bi₂Se₃ on n-type silicon with independent electrical contacts to silicon and Bi₂Se₃. Analysis of the temperature dependence of thermionic emission in reverse bias indicates a barrier height of 0.34 eV at the Si-Bi₂Se₃ interface. This robust Schottky barrier opens the possibility of original device designs based on sub-band gap internal photoemission from Bi₂Se₃ into Si. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4733388]

The most remarkable feature of the three-dimensional strong topological insulators (TIs) is the existence of a metallic surface state within the bulk bandgap with chiral charge carriers exhibiting perfect spin-momentum coupling. The chiral TI surface state has been proposed as the basis of spintronics and quantum computing devices.^{1,2}

Although the spin helicity of the TI surface has been experimentally measured by spin-angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (spin-ARPES),^{3–5} no electrical transport experiment has yet shown clear evidence of it for several reasons. Since spin and momentum are perfectly coupled, non local measurement of a spin current in the absence of a charge current^{6,7} is precluded. Perhaps even more importantly, spin precession induced by a weak perpendicular magnetic field is also eliminated by momentum scattering in the diffusive regime. This is particularly problematic, since evidence of spin precession and dephasing are used to unambiguously identify spin transport in both inorganic semiconductors⁸ and metals.⁹

Direct measurement of a spin-galvanic effect¹⁰ by injection of spin from a ferromagnetic (FM) contact is also problematic, since the desired signal will be difficult to distinguish from ordinary Hall effect due to stray fields from the nearby FM electrode.

More sophisticated measurement geometries are unlikely to solve the problem. For instance, if the FM magnetization is oriented by an in-plane magnetic field at an angle with the charge current, anisotropic magnetoresistance results. This gives rise to a planar Hall effect^{11–13} which is difficult to distinguish from a signal due to the spinmomentum coupling in the TI.¹⁴ The situation would not be different if the current flows perpendicular to the interface between TI and the ferromagnet, since the strong spin orbit interaction of the TI may induce an anisotropic tunneling magnetoresistance signal, as has been observed in devices consisting of a tunneling barrier between a ferromagnet and a non-magnetic layer.^{15,16}

Here, we propose a class of transport experiments to confirm the spin-momentum coupling in TI surface states which circumvents these problems by injecting spin polarized electrons from a long-distance silicon transport channel into the topological insulator. Detection of the spinmomentum coupling is provided by the intrinsic spingalvanic effect in the TI surface in the ballistic regime. The significant advantages of this scheme are (1) the separation of the FM spin source from the TI, made possible by the long spin transport distances achievable in undoped silicon, eliminating stray field effects and magnetoresistance in the TI contacting the silicon; and (2) the possibility of magnetic field-controlled spin precession in the Si channel which allows spin direction control without contact magnetization reversal. Thus, the intermediate transport channel provides an unambiguous means to confirm the spin-dependence (and magnetization-independence) of the resulting spin-galvanic signals in the TI.

As initial steps towards this goal, we have demonstrated the feasibility of fabricating mesoscopic Bi_2Se_3 devices on bare silicon, measured charge injection across the silicon- Bi_2Se_3 interface, and determined the Schottky barrier height of 0.34 eV. This discontinuity between Si conduction band and TI Fermi level determines the initial electron state within the TI bandstructure upon injection across the interface from the Si channel.

We first describe the proposed experiment to use spin injection from silicon to measure the spin-galvanic effect in Bi_2Se_3 . As shown in the scheme in Figure 1, unpolarized hot electrons produced by a tunnel junction are injected from a nonmagnetic cathode (NM) into a FM anode where spin-dependent electron scattering attenuates minority spin electrons. This mechanism has been shown to produce spin polarization over 90% in the ballistic component of this current crossing the Schottky barrier with Si on the other side.^{17,18} After relaxation to the Si conduction band minimum, these spin polarized hot electrons can cross the full thickness of a Si wafer (several hundred microns) under influence of an applied electric field. In Ref. 19, a subsequent FM spin filter was used to make a spin-dependent measurement of final spin angle and

^{a)}Present address: Condensed Matter and Materials division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA.



FIG. 1. Diagram of the proposed scheme to inject a spin polarized current into a topological insulator. A tunnel junction injects unpolarized hot electrons from a NM metal cathode into a FM anode. After attenuation of minority spin electrons, electrons enter the Si conduction band accelerated by a voltage V_{c1} and enter the Bi₂Se₃ with excess energy given by the Bi₂Se₃-Si Schottky barrier height. Spin-momentum conversion in Bi₂Se₃ leads to a spin dependent difference in currents I₁ and I₂. The direction of injected spin is controlled by precession in the applied magnetic field during the Si transport time \bar{t} ($\phi_{precession} = g\mu_B B\bar{t}/\hbar$). Inset: schematic of band structure of Si and Bi₂Se₃ showing spin polarized surface states (SS) and unpolarized bulk conduction (BCB) and valence (BVB) bands of Bi₂Se₃.

polarization demonstrating coherent spin precession at frequency $\omega = g\mu_B B/\hbar$ during transport through 350 μ m-thick Si at temperatures up to 150 K. The proposed experiment here will detect the spin through conversion to a charge current through the spin-galvanic effect due to spin-momentum locking in a TI as follows.

After transport through the Si, spin polarized current is injected into the TI which is electrically contacted at two opposite sides. The injected current in the proposed scheme (where the ferromagnet is placed in the anode of the tunnel junction) can reach 100 nA.⁸ If spin is locked to momentum, spin "up" will preferentially flow toward one contact, whereas spin "down" will flow to the other, constituting an intrinsic spin-galvanic effect. A small perpendicular magnetic field will cause the spins to precess while transiting the silicon, controlling the final spin orientation at the Si-TI interface. The spin angle is $g\mu_B B\bar{t}/\hbar$ where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, B the magnetic field, and g the gyromagnetic ratio. For mean transit time $\bar{t} \approx 10$ ns, precession through a full period 2π requires application of \approx 36 gauss, not enough to significantly impact the gapless surface states.

Due to spin-momentum locking, the effect is ballistic in nature. The spin polarization of the arriving electrons is detectable only in a region within one mean free path around each electrode, since the mean free path is identical to the spin relaxation length. Hence, the signal is expected to scale as l/L where l is the electron mean free path and L is the sample dimension. To maximize the signal, the electrodes contacting the TI crystal should be as closely spaced as possible.

For a good injection of spin polarized electrons into the surface states within the bandgap of the TI, the Si conduction band-TI Dirac point discontinuity should be minimized. ARPES experiments indicate that the TI surface state persists as a resonance to energies above the conduction band edge and retains a degree of spin polarization.^{3,20} However, injection at energies higher than the bulk conduction band edge will populate the spin-textured surface state resonance as well as the spin degenerate bulk states, reducing the signal. Inelastic processes which relax the hot carriers may also relax the injected spin and further reduce the signal. It is therefore of great importance to know the Si conduction band-TI Fermi level discontinuity (Schottky barrier). In the following, we describe the procedure we have followed to measure experimentally the Si-Bi₂Se₃ Schottky barrier.

Exfoliated crystals of Bi₂Se₃ were deposited on n-type low doped silicon (1-10 Ω cm) and a technique was developed as follows to fabricate electrodes on the crystals without having an electrical contact to the silicon. Crystals were grown as indicated in Ref. 21 with a bulk charge density of $\approx 10^{17} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Prior to exfoliation, the silicon wafer was immersed in 2% buffered hydrofluoric acid (BHF) to remove any oxide layer formed and obtain a good Schottky contact. After exfoliation, thin crystals of about 70 nm thick were identified optically. A negative resist (hydrogen silsesquioxane, HSQ) was deposited and patterned using electron-beam lithography as an insulating layer between the electrodes and silicon. Electrodes were patterned with a positive resist using electron-beam lithography. A good electrical contact to the TI is achieved using a N2 plasma for several seconds in the contact area²² before depositing the Cr/Au (10 nm/100nm) electrodes.

Figure 2(a) shows an optical image of the sample consisting of two independent Bi₂Se₃ crystals, samples 1 and 2. Four probe resistance measurements on sample 1 and two probe measurements on sample 2 (Fig. 2(b)) show linear Ohmic behavior, indicating a good electrical contact to the Bi₂Se₃. The sheet resistance of the Bi₂Se₃ was found to be 140 Ω and contact resistance 176 Ω . The increasing resistance of samples 1 and 2 with increasing temperature shown in Fig. 2(c) indicates metallic behavior.

Figure 3(a) shows the I-V characteristic measured between an indium contact to Si and electrode 3 on Bi₂Se₃ sample 1 at different temperatures. We interpret the sublinear I-V characteristic as that of two back-to-back Schottky diodes: one at the Bi₂Se₃-Si interface and one at the indium-Si interface, such that one of the two is in reverse bias regardless of voltage polarity. Figure 3(b) shows the same current vs V_M , the voltage drop measured across the Bi₂Se₃-Cr/Au interface, as it is represented in Figure 3(c). Here, Ohmic behavior indicates that the sublinear characteristic in Figure 3(a) does not come from the Bi₂Se₃-Cr/Au contact. The Si-Bi₂Se₃ Schottky barrier height was deduced from the reverse bias saturation current. The negative side of the I-V



FIG. 2. Transport measurements of two of the Bi_2Se_3 crystals studied. (a) Optical image of Bi_2Se_3 samples showing Cr/Au electrodes and HSQ isolation layer to prevent direct contact between Cr/Au electrodes and Si. (b) Linear four probe and two probe I-V characteristics of samples 1 and 2, respectively. (In sample 1, current was driven through electrodes 1 and 4 and voltage was measured in electrodes 2 and 3). (c) Two probe resistance of samples 1 and 2 as a function of temperature.

curve reflects the saturation of the Bi_2Se_3 -Si diode and the positive side the saturation of the indium-Si diode. Figure 3(d) shows the fit of the saturation current of the Bi_2Se_3 -Si diode with the Richardson-Dushman thermionic emission theory,

$$J = -A^* T^2 \exp\left(-\frac{q\phi_B}{k_B T}\right),\tag{1}$$



FIG. 3. (a) and (b) Current I through the In-silicon-Bi₂Se₃-Cr/Au structure as a function of V_S measured between In and Cr/Au and a second contact on the Bi₂Se₃ shown in (b). (c) shows a schematic of the measurement setup. Measurements at different temperatures T are shown as indicated by legend in (a). (c) Semi-log plot of I/T^2 as a function of 1/T for Si-Bi₂Se₃ junctions samples 1 and 2. Straight lines are fits to the Richardson-Dushman thermionic emission theory (Eq. (1)) with a Schottky barrier height of 0.34 eV.

where ϕ_B is the Schottky barrier height and A^* the Richardson constant, $4\pi \,\mathrm{qm}^* \mathrm{k}_B^2/\mathrm{h}^3$. The deduced values were $\phi_B = 0.34 \pm 0.01 \,\mathrm{eV}$ for sample 1 and $\phi_B = 0.34 \pm 0.009 \,\mathrm{eV}$ for sample 2. The same value has been found on a third Bi₂Se₃ crystal 5 times smaller than sample 2.

The Richardson constant in samples 1 and 2 is approximately $300 \text{ A/cm}^2/\text{K}^2$, three times larger than what is expected theoretically for Si.²³ Such large values for the Richardson constant have previously been observed in Si diodes with different metals, due to a strong sensitivity to interfacial states.^{24,25}

The measured small Si-Bi₂Se₃ Schottky barrier height of 0.34 eV is reasonable given that the ionization potential of n-type Bi₂Se₃ is \approx 4.45 eV (Ref. 26) and electron affinity of Si is 4.05 eV. (Our Bi₂Se₃ samples after exfoliation have a Fermi energy placed near the bottom of the bulk conduction band^{22,27}). Because the bandgap of Bi₂Se₃ is only 0.3 eV $< \phi_{B,1}^{-1}$ electrons from the Si conduction band are resonant with bulk conduction band states in the Bi₂Se₃ even if the Fermi level lies in the gap, hence injection will occur into the spin-textured surface resonance as well as the spin degenerate bulk states. This difficulty can be potentially addressed by effectively eliminating the Schottky barrier by degenerately doping the silicon surface such that the depletion region is transparent to tunneling.

Alternatively, the existence of a robust Schottky barrier at the TI-Si interface can be exploited in other original device designs intended for study of the spin-polarized properties of the surface states. For example, oblique illumination of the TI-Si interface with circularly polarized infrared photons of energy $h\nu > 0.34 \,\text{eV}$ will result in spinselective surface-state electron excitation into the Si conduction band, in an *internal* process²⁸ analogous to recent (vacuum) photoemission experiments,⁵ without the need for high-energy ultraviolet photons. Although energy and momentum dispersion information is lost by scattering during transport through the Si, subsequent spin detection of this photocurrent with semiconductor-FM metal-semiconductor structures as in conventional spintronic devices⁸ can then be used to study the spin properties of the surface state assuming $h\nu < \approx 0.7 \,\text{eV}$ (set by the Schottky barrier height of the FM/Si detector interface). This scheme has the added benefit that external probes such as mechanical strain (precluded by the requirements of surface science methods like ARPES) can be readily applied in the ex-situ measurement environment.

This work has been supported by the University of Maryland NSF-MRSEC and MRSEC shared facilities under Grant No. DMR 05-20741, NSF Grant DMR 11-05224, and the Office of Naval Research. We acknowledge the support of the Maryland NanoCenter and its FabLab in particular Tom Loughran and helpful discussions with Dohun Kim.

- ³Z.-H. Pan, E. Vescovo, A. V. Fedorov, D. Gardner, Y. S. Lee, S. Chu, G. D. Gu, and T. Valla, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 257004 (2011).
- ⁴D. Hsieh, Y. Xia, D. Qian, L. Wray, J. H. Dil, F. Meier, J. Osterwalder, L. Patthey, J. G. Checkelsky, N. P. Ong, A. V. Fedorov, H. Lin, A. Bansil,

¹Y. Xia, D. Qian, D. Hsieh, L. Wray, A. Pal, H. Lin, A. Bansil, D. Grauer, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Phys. 5, 398 (2009).

²M. Z. Hasan, D. Hsieh, Y. Xia, A. L. Wray, S. Y. Xu, and C. L. Kane, e-print arXiv: 1105.0396 (2011).

- D. Grauer, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nature (London) 460, 1101 (2009).
- ⁵Y. H. Wang, D. Hsieh, D. Pilon, L. Fu, D. R. Gardner, Y. S. Lee, and N. Gedik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 207602 (2011).
- ⁶X. Lou, C. Adelmann, S. Crooker, E. Garlid, J. Zhang, S. Reddy, S. Flexner, C. Palmstrøm, and P. A. Crowell, Nat. Phys. **3**, 197 (2007).
- ⁷T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa, T. Suzuki, M. Shiraishi, Y. Suzuki, and K. Noguchi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 122101 (2010).
- ⁸I. Appelbaum, B. Huang, and D. J. Monsma, Nature (London) 447, 295 (2007).
- ⁹M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 1790 (1985).
- ¹⁰S. D. Ganichev, E. L. Ivchenko, V. V. Bel'kov, S. A. Tarasenko, M. Sollinger, D. Weiss, W. Wegscheider, and W. Prettl, Nature (London) 417, 153 (2002).
- ¹¹C. Goldberg and R. E. Davis, Phys. Rev. 94, 1121 (1954).
- ¹²W. M. Bullis and W. E. Krag, Phys. Rev. 101, 580 (1956).
- ¹³I. A. Campbell and A. Fert, *Ferromagnetic Materials* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- ¹⁴B. Xia, Z. P. Li, C. Ke, P. Liu, P. Ren, H. B. Su, A. C. H. Huan, Z. L. Dong, and L. Wang, e-print arXiv:1109.1379 (2011).
- ¹⁵J. Moser, A. Matos-Abiague, D. Schuh, W. Wegscheider, J. Fabian, and D. Weiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 056601 (2007).

- ¹⁶T. Uemura, M. Harada, T. Akiho, K. ichi Matsuda, and M. Yamamoto, Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 102503 (2011).
- ¹⁷D. J. Monsma, J. C. Lodder, T. J. A. Popma, and B. Dieny, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5260 (1995).
- ¹⁸S. van Dijken, X. Jiang, and S. S. P. Parkin, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 951 (2003).
- ¹⁹B. Huang, D. J. Monsma, and I. Appelbaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 177209 (2007).
- ²⁰Y. H. Wang, D. Hsieh, D. Pilon, L. Fu, D. L. Gardner, Y. S. Lee, and N. Gedik, e-print arXiv: 1101.5636 (2011).
- ²¹N. P. Butch, K. Kirshenbaum, P. Syers, A. B. Sushkov, G. S. Jenkins, H. D. Drew, and J. Paglione, Phys. Rev. B 81, 241301 (2010).
- ²²D. Kim, S. Cho, N. P. Butch, P. Syers, K. Kirshenbaum, S. Adam, J. Paglione, and M. S. Fuhrer, Nat. Phys. 8, 460 (2012).
- ²³S. M. Sze and K. K. Ng, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices* (Wiley, 2007).
- ²⁴N. Tōyama, T. Takahashi, H. Murakami, and H. Kōriyama, Appl. Phys. Lett. 46, 557 (1985).
- ²⁵N. Tōyama, J. Appl. Phys. **63**, 2720 (1988).
- ²⁶D. Hsieh and N. Gedik, personal communication (2012).
- ²⁷S. Cho, P. N. Butch, J. Paglione, and M. S. Fuhrer, Nano Lett. **11**, 1925 (2011).
- ²⁸I. Appelbaum, K. J. Monsma, K. J. Rusell, V. Narayanamurti, and C. M. Marcus, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 3737 (2003).